## \$3.3 Million Jury Verdict In Commercial Litigation

jury awarded Mr. Phillip Carnes and his wife NanC \$3,271,725.61 in a case against Great Harbour Cay Realty and Investment Company, Limited, a Bahamian Corporation. The Carnes were represented by attorney Jack Scarola.

On September 14, 1998, a Palm Beach County

Mr. Carnes was hired in 1989 as the CEO of a group of entities involved in developing a major real estate project in the Berry Islands in the Bahamas. The project focused primarily on Great Harbour Cay

and other surrounding islands. The development activities had begun a decade earlier and included a large marina, airport, hotel, golf course, private residences and attendant infrastructure such as roads, power plants and water treatment plants.

Mr. Carnes had a previous ownership interest in the project, but lost that interest when the group ran out of development capital in the 1970s.

In 1989, Mr. T. D. Fender took over the project and sought out Mr. Carnes to assist him due to his extensive prior experience with the project.

Although Mr. Carnes began working on the project in 1989, it was not until **Continued on Page Three** 

## \$3.3 Million Commercial Litigation Verdict... (Cont. from Page One)

July 1991 that the business relationship Jack Scarola undertook Mr. Carnes' repwas formalized in a written contract. Un- resentation shortly after his termination der the terms of the contract, Mr. Carnes and continued to represent him for the was authorized to hire his wife, NanC, following six years, both in prosecuting as a secretarial assistant and was prom- his claims against his former employer ised a compensation package which in- and defending a variety of related accluded cash severance benefits and a tions brought in an effort to justify his 10% interest in the value of the corpora-termination. A jury rendered a verdict tion overseeing the further development representing 10% of the value of the activities. After accomplishing many of corporation, as well as the severance the major tasks for which he was responsible, Mr. Carnes was terminated in September 1992. Upon termination, Mr. Fender refused to pay Mr. Carnes.

benefits due the Carnes. Additionally, the Carnes are entitled to be reimbursed for attorneys fees and costs in amounts yet to be determined by the court.